



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,931	11/24/2003	Keith Donald Kammler	14936US02	5239
7590 08/04/2009 McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd. Suite 3400 500 W. Madison Street Chicago, IL 60605				
EXAMINER				
D AGOSTINO, PAUL ANTHONY				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3714				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/04/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/720,931		KAMMLER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Paul A. D'Agostino		3714	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Paul A. D'Agostino.

(2) Mr. Lawrence Jarvis.

**Date of Interview:** 29 July 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Obviousness rejection and new prior art.*

Claims discussed:

*Claims 103, 6, and 91-97*

Prior art documents discussed:

*US Pat No. 6,165,071 to Weiss; US Pat NO. 2001/0046893 to Giobbi et al.*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Paul A. D'Agostino/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3714

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant to discuss Weiss which concerns saving gaming data of a series of gaming sessions. Applicant acknowledged the concern and agreed to explore Weiss and get back to the Examiner. In the intervening time, Examiner's search also revealed Giobbi as potential prior art reference concerning saving game play over multiple sessions. Examiner left word for Applicant that Weiss no longer appears to be prior art and that Giobbi is the focus and to expect a new Non-Final Office Action. Applicant returned a voice message acknowledging Examiner's next steps. .